


Buckeye Partners F-0005785
Pipeline Maintenance Project Mantua, Ohio

Project Supplemental Information and
ORAM Assessment Form



DRAWN BY BCD

DATE 7/18/2026

CHECKED BY

JOB NO.

DRAWING SCALE



REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL
P.O. Box 148
Tionesta, PA 16353
www.redhorseenvironmental.com

Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785
Mantua, OH

USGS 1:24,000 Topo Map

Project Manager: Rich Burns

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF
NATURAL RESOURCES
MANAGED LANDS

Mennonite Road

GE521ZD

Headwaters Trail

F-0005785

0 250 500 Feet

DRAWN BY BCD

DATE 7/18/2026

JOB NO.


Project Manager: Rich Burns



REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL
P.O. Box 148
Tionesta, PA 16353
www.redhorseenvironmental.com

Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785
Mantua, OH

ODNR Lands



DRAWN BY BCD

DATE 7/18/2026

JOB NO.


Project Manager: Rich Burns



REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL
P.O. Box 148
Tionesta, PA 16353
www.redhorseenvironmental.com

Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785
Mantua, OH


Ohio Mapped Wetlands



DRAWN BY BCD	 REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL P.O. Box 148 Tionesta, PA 16353 www.redhorseenvironmental.com	Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785 Mantua, OH NHD Flowline Streams
DATE 7/18/2026		
JOB NO.		
Project Manager: Rich Burns		



DRAWN BY BCD	 REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL P.O. Box 148 Tionesta, PA 16353 www.redhorseenvironmental.com	
DATE 7/18/2026		Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785 Mantua, OH
JOB NO.		Watersheds
Project Manager: Rich Burns		



DRAWN BY BCD

DATE 7/18/2026

JOB NO.

Project Manager: Rich Burns



REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL
P.O. Box 148
Tionesta, PA 16353
www.redhorseenvironmental.com


Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785
Mantua, OH


2' Contours

**Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands
10 Page Form for Wetland Categorization**

**Buckeye Partners F-0005785
Pipeline Maintenance Project Mantua, Ohio**

Background Information

Name:	Bruce Dickson		
Date:	7/29/2026		
Affiliation:	RedHorse Environmental		
Address:	PO Box 148 Tionesta, PA 16353		
Phone Number:	814-806-6073		
e-mail address:	bdickson@redhorseenvironmental.com		
Name of Wetland:	Buckeye Pipeline at Mantua		
Vegetation Community(ies):	Emergent (mixed species; OBL dominant vegetation)		
HGM Class(es):	Riverine Headwater Depression		
Location of Wetland: include map, address, north arrow, landmarks, distances, roads, etc.			
***See Attachments for additional detail			
Lat/Long or UTM Coordinate	41.281817 -81.196729		
USGS Quad Name	Mantua, OH		
County	Portage		
Township	Mantua		
Section and Subsection	S42 T5N R8W (CONNECTICUT WESTERN RESERVE)		
Hydrologic Unit Code Cuyahoga; HUC 8 #04110002			
Site Visit	7/22/2026		
National Wetland Inventory Map	PEM1F		
Ohio Wetland Inventory Map	PEM1F		
Soil Survey	Holly silt loam, 0 to 2 %; frequently flooded, meets hydric criteria.		
Delineation report/map	Attached		

Name of Wetland: Buckeye Pipeline at Mantua	
Wetland Size (acres, hectares): ~ 20.5 a	
Sketch: Include north arrow, relationship with other surface waters, vegetation zones, etc.	
	
Note: This wetland has been effectively dewatered with the exception of the existing stream channel	
Comments, Narrative Discussion, Justification of Category Changes: *Wetland is located south of Mennonite Road and north of the Headwaters Trail. *Abrupt elevation boundary on south side of wetland at Headwaters Trail (abandoned rail line). *Wetland hydrology has historically been maintained by beavers sealing culvert on trib to Cuyahoga River. *Vegetation is very diverse. *Class assignment based on vegetation community diversity and ownership/management by ODNR. Site Characteristics: wetland vegetation community on actively maintained right-of-way and throughout remainder of wetland dominated by OBL species. Habitat mosaic consisting of multiple species surrounding the active low-gradient stream channel and associated floodplain. Other common species within the greater wetland (outside the sample plot) included: <i>Scirpus cyperinus</i>, <i>Sparganium americanum</i>, <i>Rorippa sylvestris</i>, <i>Spiraea alba</i>, <i>Salix nigra</i>, <i>Pilea pumila</i>, <i>Eupatorium perfoliatum</i>, <i>Thelypteris palustris</i>, <i>Galium asprellum</i>, <i>Eutrochium maculatum</i>, <i>Typha angustifolia</i>, <i>Asclepias incarnata</i>, <i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>, <i>Polygonum sagittatum</i>, <i>Epilobium coloratum</i>, <i>Ludwigia palustris</i>, <i>Impatiens capensis</i>, <i>Decodon verticillatus</i> Invasives: <i>Rosa multiflora</i>	
Final score : 61	Category: 3

Scoring Boundary Worksheet

INSTRUCTIONS. The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the “scoring boundaries” of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the “jurisdictional boundaries.” For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland’s jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small or isolated from other surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. *Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland.* In determining a wetland’s scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that Rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Section if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a reference site, conservation site, etc.	X	
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human-induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or parts of a single wetland.	X	
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.	X	
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.	X	
Step 5	In all instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.	X	
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes or rivers, or for dual classifications.	X	

End of Scoring Boundary Determination. Begin Narrative Rating on next page.

Narrative Rating

INSTRUCTIONS. Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), <http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/dnap>. The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily by the results of the site visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical or biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Columbus Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle one	
1	Critical Habitat. Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001, of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 2	NO Go to Question 2
2	Threatened or Endangered Species. Is the wetland known to contain an individual of, or documented occurrences of federal or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 3	NO Go to Question 3
3	Documented High Quality Wetland. Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 4	NO Go to Question 4
4	Significant Breeding or Concentration Area. Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or nonbreeding waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 5	NO Go to Question 5
5	Category 1 Wetlands. Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and hydrologically isolated and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> , <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , or <i>Phragmites australis</i> , or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or no vegetation?	YES Wetland is a Category 1 wetland Go to Question 6	NO Go to Question 6
6	Bogs. Is the wetland a peat-accumulating wetland that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of invasive species (see Table 1) is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 7	NO Go to Question 7
7	Fens. Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that is saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral ph (5.5-9.0) and with one or more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 8a	NO Go to Question 8a
8a	"Old Growth Forest." Is the wetland a forested wetland and is the forest characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence of human-caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 years; an all-aged structure and multilayered canopies; aggregations of canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 8b	NO Go to Question 8b

8b	Mature forested wetlands. Is the wetland a forested wetland with 50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9a	NO Go to Question 9a
9a	Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish?	YES Go to Question 9b	NO Go to Question 10
9b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 9c
9c	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence, i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	YES Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 10
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 9e
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 10
10	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) Is the wetland located in Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	NO Go to Question 11
11	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1. Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio (e.g. Erie, Huron, Lucas, Wood Counties), and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, Van Wert etc.).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Complete Quantitative Rating	NO Complete Quantitative Rating

Table 1. Characteristic plant species.

invasive/exotic spp	fen species	bog species	Oak Opening species	wet prairie species
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	<i>Zygadenus elegans</i> var. <i>glaucus</i>	<i>Calla palustris</i>	<i>Carex cryptolepis</i>	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	<i>Cacalia plantaginea</i>	<i>Carex atlantica</i> var. <i>capillacea</i>	<i>Carex lasiocarpa</i>	<i>Calamagrostis stricta</i>
<i>Najas minor</i>	<i>Carex flava</i>	<i>Carex echinata</i>	<i>Carex stricta</i>	<i>Carex atherodes</i>
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	<i>Carex sterilis</i>	<i>Carex oligosperma</i>	<i>Cladium mariscoides</i>	<i>Carex buxbaumii</i>
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	<i>Carex stricta</i>	<i>Carex trisperma</i>	<i>Calamagrostis stricta</i>	<i>Carex pellita</i>
<i>Potamogeton crispus</i>	<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i>	<i>Chamaedaphne calyculata</i>	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	<i>Carex sartwellii</i>
<i>Ranunculus ficaria</i>	<i>Eleocharis rostellata</i>	<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	<i>Quercus palustris</i>	<i>Gentiana andrewsii</i>
<i>Rhamnus frangula</i>	<i>Eriophorum viridicarinarum</i>	<i>Eriophorum virginicum</i>		<i>Helianthus grosseserratus</i>
<i>Typha angustifolia</i>	<i>Gentianopsis</i> spp.	<i>Larix laricina</i>		<i>Liatris spicata</i>
<i>Typha xglauca</i>	<i>Lobelia kalmii</i>	<i>Nemopanthus mucronatus</i>		<i>Lysimachia quadriflora</i>
	<i>Parnassia glauca</i>	<i>Scheuchzeria palustris</i>		<i>Lythrum alatum</i>
	<i>Potentilla fruticosa</i>	<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.		<i>Pycnanthemum virginianum</i>
	<i>Rhamnus alnifolia</i>	<i>Vaccinium macrocarpon</i>		<i>Silphium terebinthinaceum</i>
	<i>Rhynchospora capillacea</i>	<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>		<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>
	<i>Salix candida</i>	<i>Vaccinium oxycoccus</i>		<i>Spartina pectinata</i>
	<i>Salix myricoides</i>	<i>Woodwardia virginica</i>		<i>Solidago riddellii</i>
	<i>Salix serissima</i>	<i>Xyris difformis</i>		
	<i>Solidago ohioensis</i>			
	<i>Tofieldia glutinosa</i>			
	<i>Triglochin maritimum</i>			
	<i>Triglochin palustre</i>			

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.

Site:	Rater(s):	Date:
--------------	------------------	--------------

4	4
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- ☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- ☒ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- ☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- ☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- ☐ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- ☐ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

7	11
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- ☒ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- ☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- ☐ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- ☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- ☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- ☐ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

17	28
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
- ☐ Other groundwater (3)
- ☐ Precipitation (1)
- ☐ Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
- ☒ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
- ☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
- ☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
- ☒ Recovered (7)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☒ 100 year floodplain (1)
- ☐ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- ☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- ☒ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☒ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- ☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- ☐ Seasonally inundated (2)
- ☐ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed	
☐ ditch ☐ tile ☐ dike ☐ weir ☐ stormwater input	☐ point source (nonstormwater) ☐ filling/grading ☐ road bed/RR track ☐ dredging ☒ other pipeline mowing/maintenance

20	48
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☒ None or none apparent (4)
- ☐ Recovered (3)
- ☐ Recovering (2)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☒ Excellent (7)
- ☐ Very good (6)
- ☐ Good (5)
- ☐ Moderately good (4)
- ☐ Fair (3)
- ☐ Poor to fair (2)
- ☐ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☒ None or none apparent (9)
- ☐ Recovered (6)
- ☐ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed	
☐ mowing ☐ grazing ☐ clearcutting ☐ selective cutting ☐ woody debris removal ☐ toxic pollutants	☐ shrub/sapling removal ☐ herbaceous/aquatic bed removal ☐ sedimentation ☐ dredging ☐ farming ☐ nutrient enrichment

48
subtotal this page

Site:	Rater(s):	Date:
--------------	------------------	--------------

48

subtotal first page

0	48
max 10 pts.	subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
- ☐ Fen (10)
- ☐ Old growth forest (10)
- ☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
- ☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
- ☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
- ☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
- ☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
- ☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

13

max 20 pts.

61

subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.

6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
- 3

 Emergent
- ☐ Shrub
- ☐ Forest
- ☐ Mudflats
- 2

 Open water
- ☐ Other _____

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersions.

Select only one.

- X

 High (5)
- ☐ Moderately high(4)
- ☐ Moderate (3)
- ☐ Moderately low (2)
- ☐ Low (1)
- ☐ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☐ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
- ☐ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
- ☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
- X

 Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
- ☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- 2

 Vegetated hummocks/tussocks
- 1

 Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
- ☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
- ☐ Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

61

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

ORAM Summary Worksheet

		circle answer or insert score	Result
Narrative Rating	Question 1. Critical Habitat	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES NO	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted with native plants	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	4	
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	7	
	Metric 3. Hydrology	17	
	Metric 4. Habitat	20	
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0	
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography	13	
	TOTAL SCORE	61	Category based on score breakpoints

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet.

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Choices	Circle one		Evaluation of Categorization Result of ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	YES	NO	Is quantitative rating score <i>less</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>excluding</i> gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over-categorized by the ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	YES	NO	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If the wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to Narrative Rating No. 5	YES	NO	Is quantitative rating score <i>greater</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>including</i> any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been under-categorized by the ORAM
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	YES	NO	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range for a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall with the "gray zone" for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	YES	NO	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of a nonrapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC rule 3745-1-54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit <i>moderate OR superior</i> hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was <i>not</i> categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method?	YES	NO	A wetland may be undercategorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local or regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.

Final Category			
Choose one	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3

61

Classification set at Category 3 due to habitat quality, personally observed prior seasonal flooding, and area being owned/managed by ODNR.

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEETS AND SITE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Northcentral and Northeast Region See ERDC/EL TR-12-1; the proponent agency is CECW-COR	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 09/30/2027 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	--

Project/Site: Buckeye Partners F-0005785 City/County: Mantua/Portage Sampling Date: 7/22/2026
Applicant/Owner: Buckeye Partners LP State: OH Sampling Point: S1
Investigator(s): BC Dickson Section, Township, Range: S42 T5N R8W
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Stream Floodplain Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 0 - 1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): 192 (Lake Erie Glaciated Plateau) Lat: 41.281817 Long: -81.196729 Datum: UTM83
Soil Map Unit Name: Holly silt loam, 0 to 2 % NWI classification: PEM1F
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation No, Soil No, or Hydrology No significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No X
Are Vegetation No, Soil No, or Hydrology No naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u> </u>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)
The sample point is located in a mapped wetland (PEM1F) in ODNR Managed Lands south of Mennonite Road. The wetland is located between Mennonite Road and Headwaters Trail. Headwaters trail is on an abandoned rail line and serves as a bicycle/walking trail. A small tributary to the Cuyahoga River passes thru a culvert under the Headwaters Trail and has historically been obstructed by beavers thereby creating the upstream wetland. Recently the culvert has been opened and the majority of the wetland has been dewatered except for the original stream channel.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> <u> </u> Surface Water (A1) <u> </u> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) <u> </u> Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) <u> </u> Marl Deposits (B15) <u> </u> Water Marks (B1) <u> </u> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <u> </u> Sediment Deposits (B2) <u> </u> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <u> </u> Drift Deposits (B3) <u> </u> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <u> </u> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <u> </u> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <u> </u> Iron Deposits (B5) <u> </u> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <u> </u> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <u> </u> Other (Explain in Remarks) <u> </u> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> <u> </u> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <u>X</u> Drainage Patterns (B10) <u> </u> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <u> </u> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <u> </u> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <u> </u> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <u> </u> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <u>X</u> Geomorphic Position (D2) <u> </u> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <u> </u> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u> </u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
---	---

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): <u> </u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Soil saturated at surface and spongy throughout area. The only standing water was restricted to the original stream channel that is well away from the sample point.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: S1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 m</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. <u>NA</u>				Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)																
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
		=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species _____</td> <td>x 1 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species _____</td> <td>x 2 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species _____</td> <td>x 3 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species _____</td> <td>x 4 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species _____</td> <td>x 5 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: _____</td> <td>(A) _____ (B) _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = _____</td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species _____	x 1 = _____	FACW species _____	x 2 = _____	FAC species _____	x 3 = _____	FACU species _____	x 4 = _____	UPL species _____	x 5 = _____	Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____	Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____																			
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____																			
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____																			
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____																			
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____																			
Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____																				
		=Total Cover																		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>3 m</u>)																				
1. <u>NA</u>																				
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
		=Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ☒ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
		=Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>1 m</u>)																				
1. <u>Leersia oryzoides</u>	60	Y	OBL																	
2. <u>Polygonum punctatum</u>	20	N	OBL																	
3. <u>Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani</u>	10	N	OBL																	
4. <u>Epilobium coloratum</u>	5	N	OBL																	
5. _____																				
6. _____																				
7. _____																				
8. _____																				
9. _____																				
10. _____																				
11. _____																				
12. _____																				
		95 =Total Cover		Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
		=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____																				
2. _____																				
3. _____																				
4. _____																				
		=Total Cover																		


Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

See photos. Sample area on pipeline ROW dominated by *Leersia oryzoides* with other species intermixed. All species within plot are OBL. Other common species within the greater wetland (outside the sample plot) included: *Scirpus cyperinus*, *Sparganium americanum*, *Rorippa sylvestris*, *Spiraea alba*, *Salix nigra*, *Pilea pumila*, *Eupatorium perfoliatum*, *Thelypteris palustris*, *Galium asprellum*, *Eutrochium maculatum*, *Typha angustifolia*, *Asclepias incarnata*, *Onoclea sensibilis*, *Polygonum sagittatum*, *Epilobium coloratum*, *Ludwigia palustris*, *Impatiens capensis*, Invasives: *Rosa multiflora*, *Decodon verticillatus*

NOTE: The greater vegetation community composition shifts at the floodplain boundary of the trib to the Cuyahoga River.

Sampling Point	FP2
----------------	-----

[illegible]



DRAWN BY BCD	 REDHORSE ENVIRONMENTAL P.O. Box 148 Tionesta, PA 16353 www.redhorseenvironmental.com	
DATE 7/30/2026		Buckeye Partners Line 521 F-0005785 Mantua, OH
JOB NO.		Wetland Sample Point: 41.281817 -81.196729
Project Manager: Rich Burns		



Figure 1. View looking north on ROW at wetland sample point 1.
(41.281817 -81.196729)



Figure 2. View of project area on pipeline ROW looking east (photo left) and west (photo right) of wetland south of Mennonite Road and north of Headwaters Trail.



Figure 3. View looking north across ROW at project area toward Mennonite Road



Figure 4. View looking northeast across greater wetland toward Mennonite Road



Figure 5. View looking east along Headwaters Trail of bank descending into wetland.
Base of bank is an abrupt wetland boundary




Figure 6. View looking east along Headwaters Trail (access route to project work area; photo left) and perennial tributary to the Cuyahoga River at the culvert outlet (photo right).



Figure 7. View looking east across greater wetland where the vegetation community changes along the active floodplain of the tributary to the Cuyahoga River. This area is north on the Buckeye ROW.